

```
#####Ú######=###ð#|#####ó##########################□###########¨#<###National
Portfolio Assessment for Water Supply Reallocations##¡#####=###########=#########
#ÿ##þ##□###########ª#
###############ó###########################□###########ª#
###############□###########ª#
###############ó#####"####################□###########¨#<###National Portfolio
Assessment for Water Supply Reallocations##¡#####=#########=#########
#ÿ##þ##ó###########################□###########ª#
###############ó#################
#########□###########ª#
###############ó###########################□###########ª#
###############ó#################
```

###□#####□#0e#####ð####Ð#□###£####ð######Ã###########Â###
ðW#####□###########¨#####280K in the 2008 budget to initiate an appraisal of projects to identify the best candidates for opportunities for operational changes and/or reallocation.300K in the 2009 budget is to further investigate those projects where opportunities for changes are identified.
##¡#,#########################P########################ðH#####
ð#####P###

###□#####□#0e#####ð####p# #p######ð######Ã###########F###
ðÔ#####□###########¨#¤###To get into the Presidents budget, OMB put in a requirement for the study to determine the feasibility of alternative funding arrangements for reallocation studies##¡#####¥#########¥############ðH#####
ð#####T###

###□#####□#0e#####ð####p# #p######ð######Ã###########F###
ð,#####□###########¨#¼###Possible Alternative Funding ArrangementsRequire 50/50 (or other formula) for Congressionally added reallocationsRequire locals pay the cost of the study up front, or during the course of the studyHave locals offer to pay more than their 50% shareBase Federal budget justification on % of local contributionExplore use of contributed fundsReview current laws and policies Develop administrative and/or policy optionsDevelop pros and cons##¡#T###*#####
#######/#####²#####ü#######d#####²#####"
######*#########/#########d############ðH#####
ð#####\###